

Remarks

In response to the final office action of January 11, 2007, Applicant submits this paper. Claims 1-2 and 9-16 remain pending. Claims 1, 9, and 12 have been amended per discussion with the Examiner and her primary. No new matter has been entered.

Interview of July 9, 2007

Applicant thanks the Examiner and her primary for the interview of July 9, 2007. While no agreement was reached, the distinctions over Raz were discussed.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1, 9, and 11-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,292,827 to Raz (“Raz”).

Claim 12, for instance, has been amended to recite:

“ wherein said HTML content is rendered and controlled by
said Java applet and rendered inside said Java execution.”

Claims 1 and 9 include similar recitations.

Raz fails to disclose at least this recitation. Raz in contrast renders the HTML content in a separate frame apart from the recited Java execution. Accordingly, claims 1, 9, and 12 are allowable over Raz.

Dependent claim 11 is likewise allowable over Raz.

Rejection of Claims under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 2, 10, and 13-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Raz in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,247,020 to Minard (“Minard”). Applicant traverses the rejection.

Minard fails to address the failings of Raz as described above. The combination of Minard and Raz does not teach or suggest each and every recitation of the independent claims 19 and 12. Accordingly, claims 2, 10, and 13-16 are allowable over the combination.

All rejections have been addressed. If the Examiner has any questions, she is invited to contact the undersigned to further the prosecution of the invention.

Please charge any fee associated with the filing of this paper to our Deposit Account No. 19-0733.

Respectfully submitted,
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

By: Christopher R. Glembocki
Christopher R. Glembocki
Reg. No. 38,800

1100 13th Street, N.W.
Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20005-4051

Dated: July 11, 2007